

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,450	02/20/2002	John S. Foong	4808	7381
7	590 03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Chief Patent Counsel			ILDEBRANDO, CHRISTINA A	
Engelhard Corporation 101 Wood Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 770		1725		
Iselin, NJ 08	830-0770		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/079,450	FOONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christina Ildebrando	1725	<u></u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu	ınićation.
Statüs			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 October 2003.		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-31 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-31</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)⊡ objected to t	oy the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Bu	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	0)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	3/08) 5)  Notice of Ir 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15. 	۷)

Application/Control Number: 10/079,450

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 2, 4-16, and 19-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andersen et al.

Andersen et al. (US 6,022,825) discloses a catalyst composition comprising a three-way catalyst and a hydrogen sulfide suppressant. The composition comprises (a) a high temperature catalyst support material, (b) a low temperature catalyst support material, (c) at least one platinum group metal, and (d) an additive material effective for the suppression of hydrogen sulfide (column 2, lines 20-30). It is taught that the additive material is included in the composition such that it is segregated from the other components and may be disposed in a separate layer either over or under the layer containing constituents (a)-(c) (column 2, lines 30-35, column 3, lines 1-10, and column 9, lines 40-50).

Suitable hydrogen sulfide suppressants include barium oxide (column 3, lines 40-50). Suitable high temperature catalyst support materials include an oxygen storage material selected from the group consisting of ceria, perovskites, NiO, MnO2, and zirconium-stabilized ceria (column 3, lines 15-20). Suitable low temperature catalyst support materials include alumina stabilized with 2-7% lanthanum (column 3, lines 25-

Application/Control Number: 10/079,450

Art Unit: 1725

30). It is taught that the catalyst support materials have a size in the range of 1-20 microns (column 4, lines 45-50). Suitable platinum group metals include combinations of rhodium with platinum or palladium or palladium alone (column 4, lines 20-30). It is taught that the hydrogen sulfide suppressant may be supported on alumina stabilized by lanthanum (column 3, lines 50-60). The reference teaches, by way of example, amounts of materials which meet the instantly claimed amounts. Refer to columns 7-9.

As each and every element of the claimed invention is taught in the prior art as recited above, the claims are anticipated by Andersen et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen et al. as applied above for claims 2, 4-16, and 19-31.

The teachings of Andersen et al. are as described above for claims 2, 4-16, and 19-31.

The difference between the reference and the instant claims is that the reference does not disclose the molar ratio of platinum to rhodium, when that mixture is used.

However, the reference does disclose that the purpose of the metal is to catalyze the oxidation of hydrocarbon and carbon monoxide and the reduction of nitrogen oxides

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/079,450

Art Unit: 1725

(column 1, lines 5-25). One of ordinary skill would appreciate that the activity of the catalyst would depend upon the amounts of these materials present. Therefore, one of ordinary skill would be motivated to optimize the amounts of these materials in order to obtain a catalyst of high activity. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose the instantly claimed ranges through process optimization, since it has been held that there the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a layered hydrogen sulfide suppressing catalyst comprising strontium oxide, in combination with the other features instantly claimed.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,450

Art Unit: 1725

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Ildebrando whose telephone number is (571) 272-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5, with Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/079,450

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAI March 22, 2004

Kiley Stone A4 1725 Olly Stone 3/23/04